



ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO.: 10030634-2 (CHS No.: 2003309-0061)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant:	Pieper, et al.	Examiner:	Venci, David J.
Serial No.:	09/977,358	Group Art Unit:	1641
Filed:	October 16, 2001		
For:	IMMUNOSUBTRACTION METHOD		

Mail Stop: Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

**RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.114**

This Response to Office Action is filed in response to the Office Action mailed August 22, 2005, rejecting claims 32, 52, 62-69, 84, 85, 88, 89 and 104-107 of the above-referenced patent application. The cited references are Stausbøl-Grøn, et al., 391 *FEBS Letters* 71 (1996) (referred to as Brian, et al., in the Office Action) and U.S. Patent Nos. 5,879,881 and 5,137,808. The undersigned would like to thank Examiners Venci and Le for the telephonic interview held on Nov. 18, 2005.

The following amendments and remarks remove all grounds for rejection of the application, thereby placing it in condition for allowance.

**The current status of the Claims** is reflected in the listing of claims that begins on page 2 of this paper, which is presented to address the omission of the status of claims 53-61 in the Office Action Response filed July 8, 2005.

**Remarks** begin on page 6 of this paper.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited  
with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in  
an envelope addressed to: Commissioner For Patents,  
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313  
on 12-22-05  
Dandra Saccoccia